

## Juniper Crescent Community Estate Board

Date: 5 September 2023

Location: Castlehaven Community Association, 23 Castlehaven Road, Camden Town, London NW1 8RU

Time: 18:30- 19:30

Two members of the public <b>Residents</b>	Juniper Crescent
Raj Mandair (RM) <b>One Housing Group</b>	Regeneration Manager
Roumpini Perakaki (RP) <b>PRP Architects</b>	Associate Architect
Ian Simpson (IS) <b>Communities First</b>	Lead Advisor
Sheri-Ann Bhim (SAB) <b>Connect PA</b>	Senior Account Manager
Rob Black <b>Connect PA</b>	Senior Account Executive

No	Content
<b>1</b>	<b>Actions from Previous Meeting</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The project team revised the Community Chest funding application form to be shorter and easier to complete.</li> <li>The project team collated examples of previous similar schemes to share with the Estate Board to stimulate further discussion and ideas.</li> </ul>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introductions</b>
	See attendee list above. Apologies received from Alexandra (PRP) and one resident member of the Estate Board.
<b>3</b>	<b>Update from One housing/Countryside</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RM noted that seven further households had accepted offers for rehousing, but seven households were still yet to register.</li> <li>RM noted that he will remind residents about the adult child offer.</li> <li>RM advised that the community will be consulted on the latest design of the Estate following the next Design Review Panel (DRP) – this is likely to occur at the end of the month. Wider consultation is still due to take place and submission is expected for March 2024.</li> <li>RM stated that completed rehousing is expected by October 2025.</li> <li>RM noted that construction of the Multi-Use Game Area (MUGA) had occurred prior to communication with residents which had left some residents unhappy. He advised that letters would be going to residents later in the week to explain the context of the works.</li> </ul>
<b>4</b>	<b>Community Chest Funding</b>
	<b>Discussion of previous examples of community chest funding activities.</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SB outlined previous examples of activities that Countryside had funded in other local communities (incl. school days out, evening meals for the elderly). She advised that there had been discussion that there was a need to ensure activities could happen around childcare requirements i.e., evenings, weekends, school holidays. October half-term was determined to be a suitable time for activities to take place.</li> <li>Residents suggested museum trips, theatre trips, music classes, choir practice or cooking classes as ideas.</li> <li>SB suggested that a live cooking class be available that residents could attend in-person.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One resident suggested that a small garden for local children to do gardening would be welcome.</li> <li>• SB suggested that the Board rank their three top activities.</li> <li>• Further suggestions were provided by the Board including an end-of-term concert for parents, a funfair trip, or vouchers for theatre classes.</li> <li>• RM advised he would secure a token box that could be displayed at the entrance to the estate. (To be actioned w/c 11 Sept). Residents could then insert tokens to correspond to the activity they were most interested in happening.</li> <li>• IS noted a questionnaire could be distributed to residents to gauge interest in different activities.</li> <li>• SB summarised the three top activities that residents wanted to see funded for children: Museum trip, arts &amp; craft classes, and a sea trip.</li> <li>• SB summarised the top discussed activities for residents: Theatre trips (with coaches to and from to be explored).</li> <li>• RM noted that the Jackson Theatre and Camden People's Theatre could be viable options as they were nearby.</li> <li>• IS stated it would be a good idea to build up relations with local organisations doing positive work within the community.</li> </ul>
	<b>Community Chest application form review</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One resident suggested health &amp; safety information be included within the form in case the activity required a qualified first aider to be present on site.</li> <li>• SB suggested adding a section which included health and safety information and risk assessment.</li> <li>• SB suggested a section be included regarding how projects would positively impact the community.</li> </ul>
<b>5</b>	<b>Estate Board Terms of Reference</b>
5a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SB explained that the Terms were a guideline to roughly guide discussions around funding.</li> <li>• RM explained that the Estate Board will evolve as the Juniper Estate regeneration takes place.</li> <li>• IS ran through the Terms to ensure that residents were happy, albeit unable to vote on the matter due to the quorum of four not being met.</li> <li>• RM discussed whether it would be appropriate to name residents who attend meetings as minutes would be published online. It was agreed that residents would remain anonymous on published materials.</li> <li>• RM advised that the project team will use the most recent logos of Countryside and One Housing to avoid confusion for residents.</li> </ul>
<b>6</b>	<b>AOB &amp; Next Meeting</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Next meeting to take place on Tuesday 3 Oct</li> </ul>
<b>7</b>	<b>Actions</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Token box to be installed for residents to vote on most popular activity.</li> <li>• Minutes to be published to website</li> </ul>